	UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT	COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
		District of	NEBRASKA NESTASIA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2007 JAN 30 PH 3: 38	
	V.	ORDER O	F DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
-	ZACHARY EATON	Case Number:	4:07CR3020 OF THE GLENA	
In a	Defendant ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § n of the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing has bee	n held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
Part I—Findings of Fact				
(1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
□ (3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)			
	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or 21 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
☐ (1)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
I find derance of	that the credible testimony and information subrof the evidence that	tten Statement of Reasons for I mitted at the hearing establishes by hrg # 29000	☐ clear and convincing evidence ☐ a prepon-	
reasonabl Governme	lefendant is committed to the custody of the Attorno ent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving e opportunity for private consultation with defen	sentences or being held in custody se counsel. On order of a court of the shall deliver the defendant to the U	tative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a the United States or on request of an attorney for the inited States marshal for the purpose of an appearance of Judicial Officer, U.S. Magistrate Judge	
			tle of Judicial Officer	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).